

Gauge-invariant approach to the parameterized post-Newtonian formalism and the post-Newtonian limit of teleparallel gravity

Manuel Hohmann

Laboratory of Theoretical Physics - Institute of Physics - University of Tartu
Center of Excellence “The Dark Side of the Universe”



Models of Gravity Workshop, 25. September 2019

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

Motivation

- Open questions in gravity theory and cosmology:
 - Accelerating expansion of the universe.
 - Homogeneity of cosmic microwave background.
 - Unification with quantum theory and other fundamental forces.

Motivation

- Open questions in gravity theory and cosmology:
 - Accelerating expansion of the universe.
 - Homogeneity of cosmic microwave background.
 - Unification with quantum theory and other fundamental forces.
- Possible solutions addressing these questions:
 - Additional matter fields.
 - Modification of gravitational dynamics.
 - More general geometric structures to model gravity.

- Open questions in gravity theory and cosmology:
 - Accelerating expansion of the universe.
 - Homogeneity of cosmic microwave background.
 - Unification with quantum theory and other fundamental forces.
- Possible solutions addressing these questions:
 - Additional matter fields.
 - Modification of gravitational dynamics.
 - More general geometric structures to model gravity.
- Things to (approximately) preserve in a modified theory:
 - Notions of observers, clocks, measurement rods.
 - Weak equivalence principle - universality of free fall.
 - Conservation of total energy-momentum.
 - Local position and Lorentz invariance.
 - Consistency with solar system observations.

- Open questions in gravity theory and cosmology:
 - Accelerating expansion of the universe.
 - Homogeneity of cosmic microwave background.
 - Unification with quantum theory and other fundamental forces.
- Possible solutions addressing these questions:
 - Additional matter fields.
 - Modification of gravitational dynamics.
 - More general geometric structures to model gravity.
- Things to (approximately) preserve in a modified theory:
 - Notions of observers, clocks, measurement rods.
 - Weak equivalence principle - universality of free fall.
 - Conservation of total energy-momentum.
 - Local position and Lorentz invariance.
 - Consistency with solar system observations.
- ⇒ Conditions arising on viable theories:
 - Diffeomorphism invariance / background independence.
 - Well-defined causal structure.
 - Length of trajectories and geodesic motion.

- Open questions in gravity theory and cosmology:
 - Accelerating expansion of the universe.
 - Homogeneity of cosmic microwave background.
 - Unification with quantum theory and other fundamental forces.
- Possible solutions addressing these questions:
 - Additional matter fields.
 - Modification of gravitational dynamics.
 - More general geometric structures to model gravity.
- Things to (approximately) preserve in a modified theory:
 - Notions of observers, clocks, measurement rods.
 - Weak equivalence principle - universality of free fall.
 - Conservation of total energy-momentum.
 - Local position and Lorentz invariance.
 - Consistency with solar system observations.
- ⇒ Conditions arising on viable theories:
 - Diffeomorphism invariance / background independence.
 - Well-defined causal structure.
 - Length of trajectories and geodesic motion.
- ⇒ Metric theories of gravity.

- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Assumes particular coordinate system (“universe rest frame”).
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.

- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Assumes particular coordinate system (“universe rest frame”).
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.
- ↪ Extensions of the PPN formalism:
 - More fundamental fields constituting the metric.
 - More than one dynamical metric.
 - Diffeomorphism invariant / purely geometric formalism.

- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Assumes particular coordinate system (“universe rest frame”).
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.
- ↪ Extensions of the PPN formalism:
 - More fundamental fields constituting the metric.
 - More than one dynamical metric.
 - Diffeomorphism invariant / purely geometric formalism.
- ↪ Improvements presented here:
 - Use gauge-invariant higher order perturbation theory.
 - Allow for tetrad instead of metric as fundamental field.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations**
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

- Reference spacetime:
 - Manifold M_0 with metric $g^{(0)}$ and coordinates (x^μ) .
 - Usually some highly symmetric standard spacetime:
 - maximally symmetric spacetime: Minkowski, (anti-)de Sitter
 - cosmological (background) solution of a gravity theory

- Reference spacetime:
 - Manifold M_0 with metric $g^{(0)}$ and coordinates (x^μ) .
 - Usually some highly symmetric standard spacetime:
 - maximally symmetric spacetime: Minkowski, (anti-)de Sitter
 - cosmological (background) solution of a gravity theory
- Physical spacetime:
 - Manifold M with metric g .
 - No preferred / canonical choice of coordinates.

- Reference spacetime:
 - Manifold M_0 with metric $g^{(0)}$ and coordinates (x^μ) .
 - Usually some highly symmetric standard spacetime:
 - maximally symmetric spacetime: Minkowski, (anti-)de Sitter
 - cosmological (background) solution of a gravity theory
 - Physical spacetime:
 - Manifold M with metric g .
 - No preferred / canonical choice of coordinates.
- ⚡ No canonical relation between physical and reference spacetime.

- Reference spacetime:
 - Manifold M_0 with metric $g^{(0)}$ and coordinates (x^μ) .
 - Usually some highly symmetric standard spacetime:
 - maximally symmetric spacetime: Minkowski, (anti-)de Sitter
 - cosmological (background) solution of a gravity theory
 - Physical spacetime:
 - Manifold M with metric g .
 - No preferred / canonical choice of coordinates.
- ⚡ No canonical relation between physical and reference spacetime.
1. No identification of points on M and M_0 : no coordinates on M .

- Reference spacetime:
 - Manifold M_0 with metric $g^{(0)}$ and coordinates (x^μ) .
 - Usually some highly symmetric standard spacetime:
 - maximally symmetric spacetime: Minkowski, (anti-)de Sitter
 - cosmological (background) solution of a gravity theory
 - Physical spacetime:
 - Manifold M with metric g .
 - No preferred / canonical choice of coordinates.
- ⚡ No canonical relation between physical and reference spacetime.
1. No identification of points on M and M_0 : no coordinates on M .
 2. No possibility to compare g and $g^{(0)}$: different manifolds.

Definition of gauge

A *gauge* is a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$.

Definition of gauge

A *gauge* is a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$.

1. Identification of points on M and M_0 :

- Recall that a diffeomorphism is a bijective mapping.
- Coordinates (“point labels”) can be carried from M_0 to M .

Definition of gauge

A *gauge* is a diffeomorphism $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$.

1. Identification of points on M and M_0 :
 - Recall that a diffeomorphism is a bijective mapping.
 - Coordinates (“point labels”) can be carried from M_0 to M .
2. Comparison between reference and physical metric:
 - Define pullback ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g = \mathcal{X}^*g$ of the metric g to M_0 .
 - ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g$ and $g^{(0)}$ are tensors on the same manifold M_0 .

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
- ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
 - ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
 - ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.
- ⚡ Metrics g_ϵ are defined on different manifolds for different ϵ .

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .

⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.
- ⚡ Metrics g_ϵ are defined on different manifolds for different ϵ .
- ⇒ Use gauge to relate different manifolds:
 - Family of diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
 - Assume $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{id}_{M_0}$ on the reference spacetime.

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
 - ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.
- ⚡ Metrics g_ϵ are defined on different manifolds for different ϵ .
- ⇒ Use gauge to relate different manifolds:
 - Family of diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
 - Assume $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{id}_{M_0}$ on the reference spacetime.
- Perturbative expansion:
 - Pullback $\mathcal{X}^* g_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$ defined on M_0 .

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
 - ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.
- ⚡ Metrics g_ϵ are defined on different manifolds for different ϵ .
- ⇒ Use gauge to relate different manifolds:
 - Family of diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
 - Assume $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{id}_{M_0}$ on the reference spacetime.
- Perturbative expansion:
 - Pullback ${}^x g_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$ defined on M_0 .
 - Introduce series expansion in ϵ :

$${}^x g_\epsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} \left. \frac{\partial^k {}^x g_\epsilon}{\partial \epsilon^k} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} {}^x g^{(k)}.$$

Gauge and perturbations

- Parameter dependent physical metric:
 - Assume physical metric $g \equiv g_\epsilon$ depends on parameter $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.
 - Assume every g_ϵ is defined on its own M_ϵ .
 - Assume $g_0 = g^{(0)}$ is the reference metric on M_0 .
 - ⇒ Family of physical spacetimes (M_ϵ, g_ϵ) .
- Aim: perturbative expansion of g_ϵ in ϵ around $g^{(0)}$.
- ⚡ Metrics g_ϵ are defined on different manifolds for different ϵ .
- ⇒ Use gauge to relate different manifolds:
 - Family of diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
 - Assume $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{id}_{M_0}$ on the reference spacetime.
- Perturbative expansion:
 - Pullback ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$ defined on M_0 .
 - Introduce series expansion in ϵ :

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_\epsilon = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} \left. \frac{\partial^k {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_\epsilon}{\partial \epsilon^k} \right|_{\epsilon=0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!} {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)}.$$

- Series coefficients ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)}$ depend on gauge choice \mathcal{X} .

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
 \Rightarrow One-parameter family $\Phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon$ of diffeomorphisms.

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
- ⇒ One-parameter family $\Phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon$ of diffeomorphisms.
- ⚡ $\Phi_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is not a one-parameter *group*:
- In general has $\Phi_{\epsilon+\epsilon'} \neq \Phi_\epsilon \circ \Phi_{\epsilon'}$ and $\Phi_{-\epsilon} \neq \Phi_\epsilon^{-1}$.
 - Not generated by flow (integral curves) of a vector field.

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
- ⇒ One-parameter family $\Phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon$ of diffeomorphisms.
- ⚡ $\Phi_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is not a one-parameter *group*:
 - In general has $\Phi_{\epsilon+\epsilon'} \neq \Phi_\epsilon \circ \Phi_{\epsilon'}$ and $\Phi_{-\epsilon} \neq \Phi_\epsilon^{-1}$.
 - Not generated by flow (integral curves) of a vector field.
- But there exists series of one-parameter groups $\phi_\epsilon^{(k)}$ such that:

$$\Phi_\epsilon = \dots \phi_{\epsilon^k/k!}^{(k)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\epsilon^2/2}^{(2)} \circ \phi_\epsilon^{(1)} .$$

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
- ⇒ One-parameter family $\Phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon$ of diffeomorphisms.
- ⚡ $\Phi_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is not a one-parameter *group*:
 - In general has $\Phi_{\epsilon+\epsilon'} \neq \Phi_\epsilon \circ \Phi_{\epsilon'}$ and $\Phi_{-\epsilon} \neq \Phi_\epsilon^{-1}$.
 - Not generated by flow (integral curves) of a vector field.
- But there exists series of one-parameter groups $\phi_\epsilon^{(k)}$ such that:

$$\Phi_\epsilon = \dots \phi_{\epsilon^k/k!}^{(k)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\epsilon^2/2}^{(2)} \circ \phi_\epsilon^{(1)}.$$

- ⇒ Each one-parameter group $\phi_\epsilon^{(k)}$ generated by vector field $\xi^{(k)}$.

- Consider two different gauges $\mathcal{X}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{Y}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$.
- ⇒ One-parameter family $\Phi_\epsilon = \mathcal{X}_\epsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Y}_\epsilon$ of diffeomorphisms.
- ⚡ $\Phi_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_0$ is not a one-parameter *group*:
 - In general has $\Phi_{\epsilon+\epsilon'} \neq \Phi_\epsilon \circ \Phi_{\epsilon'}$ and $\Phi_{-\epsilon} \neq \Phi_\epsilon^{-1}$.
 - Not generated by flow (integral curves) of a vector field.
- But there exists series of one-parameter groups $\phi_\epsilon^{(k)}$ such that:

$$\Phi_\epsilon = \dots \phi_{\epsilon^k/k!}^{(k)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\epsilon^2/2}^{(2)} \circ \phi_\epsilon^{(1)}.$$

- ⇒ Each one-parameter group $\phi_\epsilon^{(k)}$ generated by vector field $\xi^{(k)}$.
- ⇒ Vector fields $\xi^{(k)}$ are “Taylor expansion” coefficients of Φ_ϵ .

- Metrics in different gauges are related:

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{\epsilon} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \frac{\epsilon^{l_1+\cdots+kl_k+\cdots}}{(1!)^{l_1}\cdots(k!)^{l_k}\cdots l_1!\cdots l_k!\cdots} \xi_{\xi^{(1)}}^{l_1} \cdots \xi_{\xi^{(k)}}^{l_k} \cdots {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\epsilon}.$$

- Metrics in different gauges are related:

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{\epsilon} = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \frac{\epsilon^{l_1+\cdots+kl_k+\cdots}}{(1!)^{l_1}\cdots(k!)^{l_k}\cdots l_1!\cdots l_k!\cdots} \xi_{\xi(1)}^{l_1} \cdots \xi_{\xi(k)}^{l_k} \cdots {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\epsilon}.$$

⇒ Relation of Taylor coefficients:

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g^{(k)} = \sum_{0 \leq l_1+2l_2+\dots \leq k} \frac{k!}{(k-l_1-2l_2-\dots)!(1!)^{l_1}(2!)^{l_2}\cdots l_1!l_2!\cdots} \xi_{\xi(1)}^{l_1} \cdots \xi_{\xi(k)}^{l_k} \cdots {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k-l_1-2l_2-\dots)}.$$

Gauge invariant perturbations

- Choose a fixed “distinguished” gauge $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$:
 - E.g., impose gauge conditions on the metric.
 - Examples: harmonic gauge used for GWs, standard PPN gauge.

Gauge invariant perturbations

- Choose a fixed “distinguished” gauge $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$:
 - E.g., impose gauge conditions on the metric.
 - Examples: harmonic gauge used for GWs, standard PPN gauge.
- Define gauge-invariant metric $\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \mathcal{S} g_\epsilon = \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$.

Gauge invariant perturbations

- Choose a fixed “distinguished” gauge $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$:
 - E.g., impose gauge conditions on the metric.
 - Examples: harmonic gauge used for GWs, standard PPN gauge.
- Define gauge-invariant metric $\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$.
- Metric in arbitrary gauge \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{X} g_\epsilon = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \frac{\epsilon^{l_1+\cdots+kl_k+\cdots}}{(1!)^{l_1} \cdots (k!)^{l_k} \cdots l_1! \cdots l_k! \cdots} \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(1)}}^{l_1} \cdots \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(k)}}^{l_k} \cdots \mathbf{g}_\epsilon.$$

Gauge invariant perturbations

- Choose a fixed “distinguished” gauge $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$:
 - E.g., impose gauge conditions on the metric.
 - Examples: harmonic gauge used for GWs, standard PPN gauge.
- Define gauge-invariant metric $\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^* g_\epsilon$.
- Metric in arbitrary gauge \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{X} g_\epsilon = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \frac{\epsilon^{l_1+\cdots+l_k+\cdots}}{(1!)^{l_1} \cdots (k!)^{l_k} \cdots l_1! \cdots l_k! \cdots} \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(1)}}^{l_1} \cdots \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(k)}}^{l_k} \cdots \mathbf{g}_\epsilon.$$

- Metric components split into two parts:
 - Gauge-invariant part \mathbf{g}_ϵ : physical content.
 - Gauge defining vector fields $X^{(k)}$: coordinate choice.

Gauge invariant perturbations

- Choose a fixed “distinguished” gauge $\mathcal{S}_\epsilon : M_0 \rightarrow M_\epsilon$:
 - E.g., impose gauge conditions on the metric.
 - Examples: harmonic gauge used for GWs, standard PPN gauge.
- Define gauge-invariant metric $\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \mathcal{S}_\epsilon^* \mathbf{g}_\epsilon$.
- Metric in arbitrary gauge \mathcal{X} :

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{g}_\epsilon = \sum_{l_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{l_k=0}^{\infty} \cdots \frac{\epsilon^{l_1+\cdots+kl_k+\cdots}}{(1!)^{l_1}\cdots(k!)^{l_k}\cdots l_1!\cdots l_k!\cdots} \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(1)}}^{l_1} \cdots \mathfrak{L}_{X^{(k)}}^{l_k} \cdots \mathbf{g}_\epsilon.$$

- Metric components split into two parts:
 - Gauge-invariant part \mathbf{g}_ϵ : physical content.
 - Gauge defining vector fields $X_{(k)}$: coordinate choice.
- Number # of independent components:

$$\#({}^{\mathcal{X}}\mathbf{g}_\epsilon) = \#(\mathbf{g}_\epsilon) + \#(X_{(k)}).$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism**
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

Post-Newtonian matter and velocity orders

- Energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu} .$$

- Rest mass density ρ .
- Specific internal energy Π .
- Pressure p .
- Four-velocity u^μ .

Post-Newtonian matter and velocity orders

- Energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Rest mass density ρ .
 - Specific internal energy Π .
 - Pressure p .
 - Four-velocity u^μ .
- Universe rest frame and slow-moving source matter:
 - Consider some gauge $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$ (“universe rest frame”).
 - Pullback of metric and matter variables along \mathcal{X} .
 - Velocity of the source matter: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}v^j = {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^j / {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^0$.
 - Assume that source matter is slow-moving: $|{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}| \ll 1$.

Post-Newtonian matter and velocity orders

- Energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Rest mass density ρ .
- Specific internal energy Π .
- Pressure p .
- Four-velocity u^μ .
- Universe rest frame and slow-moving source matter:
 - Consider some gauge $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$ (“universe rest frame”).
 - Pullback of metric and matter variables along \mathcal{X} .
 - Velocity of the source matter: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}v^j = {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^j / {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^0$.
 - Assume that source matter is slow-moving: $|{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}| \ll 1$.
- Use $\epsilon = |{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}|$ as perturbation parameter.

Post-Newtonian matter and velocity orders

- Energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Rest mass density $\rho \sim \mathcal{O}(2)$.
- Specific internal energy $\Pi \sim \mathcal{O}(2)$.
- Pressure $p \sim \mathcal{O}(4)$.
- Four-velocity u^μ .
- Universe rest frame and slow-moving source matter:
 - Consider some gauge $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$ (“universe rest frame”).
 - Pullback of metric and matter variables along \mathcal{X} .
 - Velocity of the source matter: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}v^j = {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^j / {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^0$.
 - Assume that source matter is slow-moving: $|{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}| \ll 1$.
- Use $\epsilon = |{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}|$ as perturbation parameter.
- Assign velocity orders $\mathcal{O}(n) \sim \epsilon^n$ to all quantities.

Post-Newtonian matter and velocity orders

- Energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho + \rho\Pi + p)u^\mu u^\nu + pg^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Rest mass density $\rho \sim \mathcal{O}(2)$.
- Specific internal energy $\Pi \sim \mathcal{O}(2)$.
- Pressure $p \sim \mathcal{O}(4)$.
- Four-velocity u^μ .
- Universe rest frame and slow-moving source matter:
 - Consider some gauge $\mathcal{X} : M_0 \rightarrow M$ (“universe rest frame”).
 - Pullback of metric and matter variables along \mathcal{X} .
 - Velocity of the source matter: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}v^j = {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^j / {}^{\mathcal{X}}u^0$.
 - Assume that source matter is slow-moving: $|{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}| \ll 1$.
- Use $\epsilon = |{}^{\mathcal{X}}\vec{v}|$ as perturbation parameter.
- Assign velocity orders $\mathcal{O}(n) \sim \epsilon^n$ to all quantities.
- Quasi-static: assign additional $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to time derivatives ∂_0 .

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}^0}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^1}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^2}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^3}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^4}g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^1 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^2 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^3 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^4 + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Note difference in notation: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g^k = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)} \epsilon^k / k!$.

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}^0}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^1}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^2}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^3}g_{\mu\nu} + {}^{\mathcal{X}^4}g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Note difference in notation: ${}^{\mathcal{X}^k}g = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)} \epsilon^k / k!$.
- Background metric given by Minkowski metric: ${}^{\mathcal{X}^0}g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^1 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^2 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^3 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^4 + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Note difference in notation: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g^k = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)} \epsilon^k / k!$.
- Background metric given by Minkowski metric: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^1 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^2 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^3 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^4 + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Note difference in notation: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g^k = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)} \epsilon^k / k!$.
- Background metric given by Minkowski metric: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.
- Only certain components are relevant and non-vanishing:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{0i}^3, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^4, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^4.$$

- Standard post-Newtonian metric expansion:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^1 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^2 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^3 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^4 + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Note difference in notation: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g^k = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g^{(k)} \epsilon^k / k!$.
- Background metric given by Minkowski metric: ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{\mu\nu}^0 = \eta_{\mu\nu}$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.
- Only certain components are relevant and non-vanishing:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{0i}^3, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^4, \quad {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^4.$$

- ${}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^4$ not used in standard PPN formalism, but may couple.

Standard post-Newtonian gauge

- PPN formalism assumes fixed standard gauge \mathcal{P} .

Standard post-Newtonian gauge

- PPN formalism assumes fixed standard gauge \mathcal{P} .
- Metric in standard PPN gauge:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^2 = 2^{\mathcal{P}}U,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2 = 2\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}U\delta_{ij},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{0i}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(3 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}W_i,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^4 = -2\beta^{\mathcal{P}}U^2 + (2 + 2\gamma + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_2 \\ + 2(1 + \zeta_3)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_4 - 2\xi^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_W - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{A},$$

Standard post-Newtonian gauge

- PPN formalism assumes fixed standard gauge \mathcal{P} .
- Metric in standard PPN gauge:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^2 = 2^{\mathcal{P}}U,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2 = 2\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}U\delta_{ij},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{0i}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(3 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}W_i,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^4 = -2\beta^{\mathcal{P}}U^2 + (2 + 2\gamma + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_2 \\ + 2(1 + \zeta_3)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_4 - 2\xi^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_W - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{A},$$

- Metric contains **PPN parameters** and **PPN potentials**.

Standard post-Newtonian gauge

- PPN formalism assumes fixed standard gauge \mathcal{P} .
- Metric in standard PPN gauge:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^2 = 2^{\mathcal{P}}U,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2 = 2\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}U\delta_{ij},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{0i}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(3 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}W_i,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^4 = -2\beta^{\mathcal{P}}U^2 + (2 + 2\gamma + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_2 \\ + 2(1 + \zeta_3)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_4 - 2\xi^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_W - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{A},$$

- Metric contains PPN parameters and PPN potentials.
- Properties of standard PPN metric:
 - Second-order spatial part ${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2$ is diagonal.

Standard post-Newtonian gauge

- PPN formalism assumes fixed standard gauge \mathcal{P} .
- Metric in standard PPN gauge:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^2 = 2^{\mathcal{P}}U,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2 = 2\gamma^{\mathcal{P}}U\delta_{ij},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{0i}^3 = -\frac{1}{2}(3 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}W_i,$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^4 = & -2\beta^{\mathcal{P}}U^2 + (2 + 2\gamma + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_2 \\ & + 2(1 + \zeta_3)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_4 - 2\xi^{\mathcal{P}}\Phi_W - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)^{\mathcal{P}}\mathfrak{A}, \end{aligned}$$

- Metric contains PPN parameters and PPN potentials.
- Properties of standard PPN metric:
 - Second-order spatial part ${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{ij}^2$ is diagonal.
 - Fourth-order temporal part ${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{00}^4$ does not contain potential \mathfrak{B} .

- PPN parameters are linked to physical properties:
 - γ : spatial curvature generated by unit mass.
 - β : non-linearity in gravity superposition law.
 - $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$: violation of local Lorentz invariance.
 - $\alpha_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$: violation of energy-momentum conservation.
 - ξ : violation of local position invariance.

- PPN parameters are linked to physical properties:
 - γ : spatial curvature generated by unit mass.
 - β : non-linearity in gravity superposition law.
 - $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$: violation of local Lorentz invariance.
 - $\alpha_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$: violation of energy-momentum conservation.
 - ξ : violation of local position invariance.
- In GR $\gamma = \beta = 1$ and $\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0$.

- PPN parameters are linked to physical properties:
 - γ : spatial curvature generated by unit mass.
 - β : non-linearity in gravity superposition law.
 - $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$: violation of local Lorentz invariance.
 - $\alpha_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$: violation of energy-momentum conservation.
 - ξ : violation of local position invariance.
 - In GR $\gamma = \beta = 1$ and $\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0$.
- ⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:
- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
 - Total energy-momentum is conserved.

- PPN parameters are linked to physical properties:
 - γ : spatial curvature generated by unit mass.
 - β : non-linearity in gravity superposition law.
 - $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$: violation of local Lorentz invariance.
 - $\alpha_3, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3, \zeta_4$: violation of energy-momentum conservation.
 - ξ : violation of local position invariance.
 - In GR $\gamma = \beta = 1$ and $\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0$.
- ⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:
- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
 - Total energy-momentum is conserved.
- ⇒ Other theories will receive bounds from experiments.

Experimental bounds

Par.	Bound	Effects	Experiment
$\gamma - 1$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	Time delay, light deflection	Cassini tracking
$\beta - 1$	$8 \cdot 10^{-5}$	Perihelion shift	Perihelion shift
ξ	$4 \cdot 10^{-9}$	Spin precession	Millisecond pulsars
α_1	10^{-4}	Orbital polarization	Lunar laser ranging
α_1	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	Orbital polarization	PSR J1738+0333
α_2	$2 \cdot 10^{-9}$	Spin precession	Millisecond pulsars
α_3	$4 \cdot 10^{-20}$	Self-acceleration	Pulsar spin-down statistics
η_N^1	$9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	Nordtvedt effect	Lunar Laser Ranging
ζ_1	0.02	Combined PPN bounds	—
ζ_2	$4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	Binary pulsar acceleration	PSR 1913+16
ζ_3	10^{-8}	Newton's 3rd law	Lunar acceleration
ζ_4	0.006	—	Kreuzer experiment

$$^1\eta_N = 4\beta - \gamma - 3 - \frac{10}{3}\xi - \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2 - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_1 - \frac{1}{3}\zeta_2$$

- Newtonian potential:

$${}^x\chi = - \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^xU = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\rho' \equiv {}^x\rho(t, \vec{x}').$$

- Newtonian potential:

$${}^x\chi = - \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^xU = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\rho' \equiv {}^x\rho(t, \vec{x}').$$

- Vector potentials:

$${}^xV_i = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xv'_i}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^xW_i = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xv'_j (x_i - x'_i)(x_j - x'_j)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^3}.$$

- Newtonian potential:

$${}^x\chi = - \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^xU = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\rho' \equiv {}^x\rho(t, \vec{x}').$$

- Vector potentials:

$${}^xV_i = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xv'_i}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^xW_i = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xv'_j (x_i - x'_j)(x_j - x'_i)}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^3}.$$

- Fourth-order scalar potentials:

$${}^x\Phi_1 = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xv'^2}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\Phi_4 = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|},$$

$${}^x\Phi_2 = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^xU'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\mathfrak{A} = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' [{}^xv'_i (x_i - x'_i)]^2}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^3},$$

$${}^x\Phi_3 = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^x\Pi'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|}, \quad {}^x\mathfrak{B} = \int d^3x' \frac{{}^x\rho'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} (x_i - x'_i) \frac{d^xv'_i}{dt},$$

$${}^x\Phi_W = \int d^3x' d^3x'' \frac{{}^x\rho' {}^x\rho''}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|^3} \left(\frac{x_i - x'_i}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} - \frac{x_i - x''_i}{|\vec{x}' - \vec{x}''|} \right).$$

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^x T_{00} = {}^x \rho \left(1 - {}^x g_{00}^2 + ({}^x v)^2 + {}^x \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^x T_{0i} = -{}^x \rho {}^x v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^x T_{ij} = {}^x \rho {}^x v_i {}^x v_j + {}^x p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

Post-Newtonian field equations

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^x T_{00} = {}^x \rho \left(1 - {}^x g_{00} + ({}^x v)^2 + {}^x \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^x T_{0i} = -{}^x \rho {}^x v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^x T_{ij} = {}^x \rho {}^x v_i {}^x v_j + {}^x p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

- Behavior under gauge transformations:

$${}^y T_{00}^2 = {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^2 = {}^x T_{ij}^2, \quad {}^y T_{0i}^3 = {}^x T_{0i}^3,$$

$${}^y T_{00}^4 = {}^x T_{00}^4 + \xi_i \partial_i {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^4 = {}^x T_{ij}^4.$$

Post-Newtonian field equations

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{00} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}\rho \left(1 - {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00} + ({}^{\mathcal{X}}v)^2 + {}^{\mathcal{X}}\Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{0i} = -{}^{\mathcal{X}}\rho {}^{\mathcal{X}}v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{ij} = {}^{\mathcal{X}}\rho {}^{\mathcal{X}}v_i {}^{\mathcal{X}}v_j + {}^{\mathcal{X}}p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

- Behavior under gauge transformations:

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}T_{00}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{00}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{Y}}T_{ij}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{ij}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{Y}}T_{0i}^3 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{0i}^3,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}T_{00}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{00}^4 + \xi_i \partial_i {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{00}^2, \quad {}^{\mathcal{Y}}T_{ij}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}T_{ij}^4.$$

- Energy-momentum tensor \sim derivatives of PPN potentials.

Post-Newtonian field equations

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^x T_{00} = {}^x \rho \left(1 - {}^x g_{00} + ({}^x v)^2 + {}^x \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^x T_{0i} = -{}^x \rho {}^x v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^x T_{ij} = {}^x \rho {}^x v_i {}^x v_j + {}^x p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

- Behavior under gauge transformations:

$${}^y T_{00}^2 = {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^2 = {}^x T_{ij}^2, \quad {}^y T_{0i}^3 = {}^x T_{0i}^3,$$

$${}^y T_{00}^4 = {}^x T_{00}^4 + \xi_i \partial_i {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^4 = {}^x T_{ij}^4.$$

- Energy-momentum tensor \sim derivatives of PPN potentials.
- \Rightarrow Solve for PPN parameters by PPN expanding field equations.

Post-Newtonian field equations

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^x T_{00} = {}^x \rho \left(1 - {}^x g_{00} + ({}^x v)^2 + {}^x \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^x T_{0i} = -{}^x \rho {}^x v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^x T_{ij} = {}^x \rho {}^x v_i {}^x v_j + {}^x p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

- Behavior under gauge transformations:

$${}^y T_{00}^2 = {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^2 = {}^x T_{ij}^2, \quad {}^y T_{0i}^3 = {}^x T_{0i}^3,$$

$${}^y T_{00}^4 = {}^x T_{00}^4 + \xi_i \partial_i {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^4 = {}^x T_{ij}^4.$$

- Energy-momentum tensor \sim derivatives of PPN potentials.
- \Rightarrow Solve for PPN parameters by PPN expanding field equations.
- ⚡ Equations may be gauge dependent & hard to solve.

Post-Newtonian field equations

- Expand energy-momentum tensor in velocity orders:

$${}^x T_{00} = {}^x \rho \left(1 - {}^x g_{00} + ({}^x v)^2 + {}^x \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$${}^x T_{0i} = -{}^x \rho {}^x v_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$${}^x T_{ij} = {}^x \rho {}^x v_i {}^x v_j + {}^x p \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6)$$

- Behavior under gauge transformations:

$${}^y T_{00}^2 = {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^2 = {}^x T_{ij}^2, \quad {}^y T_{0i}^3 = {}^x T_{0i}^3,$$

$${}^y T_{00}^4 = {}^x T_{00}^4 + \xi_i \partial_i {}^x T_{00}^2, \quad {}^y T_{ij}^4 = {}^x T_{ij}^4.$$

- Energy-momentum tensor \sim derivatives of PPN potentials.
- \Rightarrow Solve for PPN parameters by PPN expanding field equations.
- ⚡ Equations may be gauge dependent & hard to solve.
- \rightsquigarrow Use gauge-invariant formalism to decouple equations.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism**
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

Gauge transformation of the metric

- Allow only gauge transformations preserving PPN assumptions:

$$\xi_i^2, \quad \xi_0^3, \quad \xi_i^4.$$

Gauge transformation of the metric

- Allow only gauge transformations preserving PPN assumptions:

$${}^2\xi_i, \quad {}^3\xi_0, \quad {}^4\xi_i.$$

- Relation between metrics in gauges \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} :

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{00}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{ij}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2 + 2\partial_{(i}{}^2\xi_{j)},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{0i}^3 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{0i}^3 + \partial_i{}^3\xi_0 + \partial_0{}^2\xi_i,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{00}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^4 + 2\partial_0{}^3\xi_0 + \xi_i\partial_i{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{ij}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^4 + 2\partial_{(i}{}^4\xi_{j)} + 2{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{k(i}^2\partial_{j)}{}^2\xi_k + \xi_k\partial_k{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2 + \partial_{(i}({}^2\xi_{|k}\partial_{k|}{}^2\xi_{j)}) + \partial_i{}^2\xi_k\partial_j{}^2\xi_k.$$

Gauge transformation of the metric

- Allow only gauge transformations preserving PPN assumptions:

$${}^2\xi_i, \quad {}^3\xi_0, \quad {}^4\xi_i.$$

- Relation between metrics in gauges \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} :

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{00}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{ij}^2 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2 + 2\partial_{(i}{}^2\xi_{j)},$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{0i}^3 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{0i}^3 + \partial_i{}^3\xi_0 + \partial_0{}^2\xi_i,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{00}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^4 + 2\partial_0{}^3\xi_0 + \xi_i\partial_i{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{00}^2,$$

$${}^{\mathcal{Y}}g_{ij}^4 = {}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^4 + 2\partial_{(i}{}^4\xi_{j)} + 2{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{k(i}\partial_{j)}{}^2\xi_k + \xi_k\partial_k{}^{\mathcal{X}}g_{ij}^2 + \partial_{(i}({}^2\xi_{|k}\partial_{k|}{}^2\xi_{j)}) + \partial_i{}^2\xi_k\partial_j{}^2\xi_k.$$

- Use gauge transformation to eliminate metric components.

Gauge-invariant metric

- Definition of gauge-invariant metric components:

$$\mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{\star}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^{\bullet} \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger}.$$

Gauge-invariant metric

- Definition of gauge-invariant metric components:

$$\mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{\star}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^{\bullet} \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger}.$$

- Conditions imposed on components:

$$\partial^i \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond} = 0, \quad \partial^i \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger} = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{[ij]}^{\dagger} = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ii}^{\dagger} = 0.$$

Gauge-invariant metric

- Definition of gauge-invariant metric components:

$$\mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{\star}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^{\bullet} \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger}.$$

- Conditions imposed on components:

$$\partial^i \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond} = 0, \quad \partial^i \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger} = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{[ij]}^{\dagger} = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ii}^{\dagger} = 0.$$

- Relation to arbitrary gauge \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{X}^2 \mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{\star 2},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^2 \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^{\bullet 2} \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger 2} + 2\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{X}^{\diamond 2} + 2\partial_{(i} \mathcal{X}_{j)}^{\diamond 2},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^3 \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^{\diamond 3} + \partial_i \mathcal{X}^{\star 3} + \partial_0 \partial_i \mathcal{X}^{\diamond 2} + \partial_0 \mathcal{X}_i^{\diamond 2},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^4 \mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{\star 4} + 2\partial_0 \mathcal{X}^{\star 3} + (\partial_i \mathcal{X}^{\diamond 2} + \mathcal{X}_i^{\diamond 2}) \partial_i \mathbf{g}^{\star 2},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^4 \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^{\bullet 4} \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{\dagger 4} + 2\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{X}^{\diamond 4} + \mathcal{O}(2) \cdot \mathcal{O}(2).$$

Gauge-invariant metric

- Definition of gauge-invariant metric components:

$$\mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^*, \quad \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^\diamond, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger.$$

- Conditions imposed on components:

$$\partial^i \mathbf{g}_i^\diamond = 0, \quad \partial^i \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{[ij]}^\dagger = 0, \quad \mathbf{g}_{ii}^\dagger = 0.$$

- Relation to arbitrary gauge \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{X}^2 \mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^{2*},$$

$$\mathcal{X}^2 \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{2\dagger} + 2\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{X}^\diamond + 2\partial_{(i} \mathcal{X}_{j)}^\diamond,$$

$$\mathcal{X}^3 \mathbf{g}_{0i} = \mathbf{g}_i^\diamond + \partial_i \mathcal{X}^* + \partial_0 \partial_i \mathcal{X}^\diamond + \partial_0 \mathcal{X}_i^\diamond,$$

$$\mathcal{X}^4 \mathbf{g}_{00} = \mathbf{g}^* + 2\partial_0 \mathcal{X}^* + (\partial_i \mathcal{X}^\diamond + \mathcal{X}_i^\diamond) \partial_i \mathbf{g}^*,$$

$$\mathcal{X}^4 \mathbf{g}_{ij} = \mathbf{g}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \mathbf{g}_{ij}^{4\dagger} + 2\partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{X}^\diamond + \mathcal{O}(2) \cdot \mathcal{O}(2).$$

- Gauge defining vector fields:

$$X_i = \partial_i \mathcal{X}^\diamond + X_i^\diamond, \quad X_0 = \mathcal{X}^*, \quad \partial^i X_i^\diamond = 0.$$

Decomposition of metric components

- Count number of independent components at each order:

	total	invariant	pure gauge
$x^2 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^2 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2
$x^3 g_{0i}$	3	\mathbf{g}_i^\diamond 2	X^* 1
$x^4 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^4 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2

Decomposition of metric components

- Count number of independent components at each order:

	total	invariant	pure gauge
$x^2 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^2 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2
$x^3 g_{0i}$	3	\mathbf{g}_i^\diamond 2	X^* 1
$x^4 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^4 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2

⇒ Components split into invariant and gauge parts.

Decomposition of metric components

- Count number of independent components at each order:

	total	invariant	pure gauge
$x^2 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^2 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2
$x^3 g_{0i}$	3	\mathbf{g}_i^\diamond 2	X^* 1
$x^4 g_{00}$	1	\mathbf{g}^* 1	- 0
$x^4 g_{ij}$	6	$\mathbf{g}^*, \mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger$ 1 + 2	X^\diamond, X_i^\diamond 1 + 2

- ⇒ Components split into invariant and gauge parts.
- ⇒ Possible to separate physical information from coordinate choice.

Relation to standard PPN gauge

- Use relation between expansion coefficients:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}\mathbf{g}^k = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots \leq k} \frac{1}{l_1! l_2! \dots} \xi_1^{l_1} \dots \xi_k^{l_k} \dots \mathbf{g}^{k-l_1-2l_2-\dots}$$

Relation to standard PPN gauge

- Use relation between expansion coefficients:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g^k = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots \leq k} \frac{1}{l_1! l_2! \dots} \xi_1^{l_1} \dots \xi_k^{l_k} \dots {}^k \mathbf{g}.$$

- Split components of ${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{\mu\nu}$ into $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and P^μ .

Relation to standard PPN gauge

- Use relation between expansion coefficients:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}g^k = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots \leq k} \frac{1}{l_1! l_2! \dots} \xi_1^{l_1} \dots \xi_k^{l_k} \dots {}^k \mathbf{g}.$$

- Split components of ${}^{\mathcal{P}}g_{\mu\nu}$ into $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and P^μ .
- ⇒ Gauge defining vector fields:

$${}^2 P^\diamond = 0, \quad {}^2 P_i^\diamond = 0, \quad {}^3 P^* = -\frac{1}{4}(2 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + 2\zeta_1 - 4\xi)\chi_{,0}.$$

Relation to standard PPN gauge

- Use relation between expansion coefficients:

$${}^{\mathcal{P}}\mathbf{g}^k = \sum_{0 \leq l_1 + 2l_2 + \dots \leq k} \frac{1}{l_1! l_2! \dots} \xi_1^{l_1} \dots \xi_k^{l_k} \dots \mathbf{g}^{k-l_1-2l_2-\dots}$$

- Split components of ${}^{\mathcal{P}}\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ into $\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and P^μ .

⇒ Gauge defining vector fields:

$${}^2\dot{P}^\diamond = 0, \quad {}^2\dot{P}_i^\diamond = 0, \quad {}^3\dot{P}^\star = -\frac{1}{4}(2 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + 2\zeta_1 - 4\xi)\chi_{,0}.$$

⇒ Gauge-invariant metric components:

$${}^2\mathbf{g}^\star = 2\mathbf{U}, \quad {}^2\mathbf{g}^\bullet = 2\gamma\mathbf{U}, \quad {}^2\mathbf{g}_{ij}^\dagger = 0, \quad {}^3\mathbf{g}_i^\diamond = -\left(1 + \gamma + \frac{\alpha_1}{4}\right)(\mathbf{V}_i + \mathbf{W}_i),$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4\mathbf{g}^\star &= \frac{1}{2}(2 - \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3)\Phi_1 + 2(1 + 3\gamma - 2\beta + \zeta_2 + \xi)\Phi_2 + 2(1 + \zeta_3)\Phi_3 + 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)\Phi_4 \\ &\quad - 2\xi\Phi_W - 2\beta\mathbf{U}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(2 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)\mathfrak{A} + \frac{1}{2}(2 + 4\gamma + \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2 + 2\zeta_1 - 4\xi)\mathfrak{B}. \end{aligned}$$

- Perform similar decomposition of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \mathbf{T}_{00} = \rho \left(1 - \mathbf{g}_{00} + \mathbf{v}^2 + \boldsymbol{\Pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$$\mathbf{T}_i^\diamond + \partial_i \mathbf{T}^\blacklozenge = \mathbf{T}_{0i} = -\rho \mathbf{v}_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} \mathbf{T}^\blacktriangle + 2\partial_{(i} \mathbf{T}_{j)}^\blacktriangle + \mathbf{T}_{ij}^\dagger = \mathbf{T}_{ij} = \rho \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{p} \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).$$

Gauge-invariant field equations

- Perform similar decomposition of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \mathbf{T}_{00} = \rho \left(1 - \mathbf{g}_{00}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 + \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$$\mathbf{T}_i^\diamond + \partial_i \mathbf{T}^\blacklozenge = \mathbf{T}_{0i} = -\rho \mathbf{v}_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} \mathbf{T}^\blacktriangle + 2\partial_{(i} \mathbf{T}_{j)}^\blacktriangle + \mathbf{T}_{ij}^\dagger = \mathbf{T}_{ij} = \rho \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{p} \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).$$

- Express components in terms of PPN potentials:

$$\mathbf{T}^{2*} = \rho = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{3\blacklozenge} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_0 \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}_i^{3\diamond} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \Delta (\mathbf{V}_i + \mathbf{W}_i),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{4*} = \rho \left(\Pi + \mathbf{v}^2 - \mathbf{g}^{2*} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta (\Phi_3 + \Phi_1 - 2\Phi_2),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{4\bullet} = \frac{1}{3} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \Delta (\Phi_1 + 3\Phi_4), \quad \mathbf{T}^{4\blacktriangle} = \frac{1}{16\pi} (3\mathfrak{A} - \Phi_1).$$

Gauge-invariant field equations

- Perform similar decomposition of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \mathbf{T}_{00} = \rho \left(1 - \mathbf{g}_{00}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 + \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$$\mathbf{T}_i^\diamond + \partial_i \mathbf{T}^\blacklozenge = \mathbf{T}_{0i} = -\rho \mathbf{v}_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} \mathbf{T}^\blacktriangle + 2\partial_{(i} \mathbf{T}_{j)}^\blacktriangle + \mathbf{T}_{ij}^\dagger = \mathbf{T}_{ij} = \rho \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{p} \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).$$

- Express components in terms of PPN potentials:

$$\mathbf{T}^{2*} = \rho = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}^{3\blacklozenge} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_0 \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}_i^{3\diamond} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \Delta (\mathbf{V}_i + \mathbf{W}_i),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{4*} = \rho \left(\Pi + \mathbf{v}^2 - \mathbf{g}^{2*} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta (\Phi_3 + \Phi_1 - 2\Phi_2),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^{4\bullet} = \frac{1}{3} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \Delta (\Phi_1 + 3\Phi_4), \quad \mathbf{T}^{4\blacktriangle} = \frac{1}{16\pi} (3\mathfrak{A} - \Phi_1).$$

- Decompose also gravity side $\simeq \Delta \mathbf{g}$.

Gauge-invariant field equations

- Perform similar decomposition of energy-momentum tensor:

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \mathbf{T}_{00} = \rho \left(1 - \mathbf{g}_{00}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2 + \Pi \right) + \mathcal{O}(6),$$

$$\mathbf{T}_i^\diamond + \partial_i \mathbf{T}^\blacklozenge = \mathbf{T}_{0i} = -\rho \mathbf{v}_i + \mathcal{O}(5),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^\bullet \delta_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} \mathbf{T}^\blacktriangle + 2\partial_{(i} \mathbf{T}_{j)}^\blacktriangle + \mathbf{T}_{ij}^\dagger = \mathbf{T}_{ij} = \rho \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{v}_j + \mathbf{p} \delta_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(6).$$

- Express components in terms of PPN potentials:

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \rho = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}^\blacklozenge = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \partial_0 \mathbf{U}, \quad \mathbf{T}_i^\diamond = \frac{1}{8\pi} \Delta (\mathbf{V}_i + \mathbf{W}_i),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^* = \rho \left(\Pi + \mathbf{v}^2 - \mathbf{g}^{*2} \right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Delta (\Phi_3 + \Phi_1 - 2\Phi_2),$$

$$\mathbf{T}^\bullet = \frac{1}{3} \rho \mathbf{v}^2 + \mathbf{p} = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \Delta (\Phi_1 + 3\Phi_4), \quad \mathbf{T}^\blacktriangle = \frac{1}{16\pi} (3\mathfrak{A} - \Phi_1).$$

- Decompose also gravity side $\simeq \Delta \mathbf{g}$.

⇒ Find PPN parameters by comparing coefficients on both sides.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms**
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion

- Fundamental fields:
 - Coframe field $\theta^A = \theta^A{}_\mu dx^\mu$.
 - Flat spin connection $\omega^A{}_B = \omega^A{}_{B\mu} dx^\mu$.
 - Arbitrary matter fields χ .

- Fundamental fields:

- Coframe field $\theta^A = \theta^A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}$.
- Flat spin connection $\omega^A_B = \omega^A_{B\mu} dx^{\mu}$.
- Arbitrary matter fields χ .

- Derived quantities:

- Frame field $e_A = e_A^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$ with $e_A^{\mu} \theta^B_{\mu} = \delta^B_A$ and $e_A^{\mu} \theta^A_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}$.
- Metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} \theta^A_{\mu} \theta^B_{\nu}$.
- Determinant $\theta = \det(\theta^A_{\mu})$.
- Teleparallel connection $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} = e_A^{\mu} (\partial_{\rho} \theta^A_{\nu} + \omega^A_{B\rho} \theta^B_{\nu})$.
- Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\sigma} (\partial_{\nu} g_{\sigma\rho} + \partial_{\rho} g_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_{\sigma} g_{\nu\rho})$.

- Fundamental fields:
 - Coframe field $\theta^A = \theta^A{}_\mu dx^\mu$.
 - Flat spin connection $\omega^A{}_B = \omega^A{}_{B\mu} dx^\mu$.
 - Arbitrary matter fields χ .
- Derived quantities:
 - Frame field $e_A = e_A{}^\mu \partial_\mu$ with $e_A{}^\mu \theta^B{}_\mu = \delta_A^B$ and $e_A{}^\mu \theta^A{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$.
 - Metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} \theta^A{}_\mu \theta^B{}_\nu$.
 - Determinant $\theta = \det(\theta^A{}_\mu)$.
 - Teleparallel connection $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = e_A{}^\mu (\partial_\rho \theta^A{}_\nu + \omega^A{}_{B\rho} \theta^B{}_\nu)$.
 - Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\sigma} (\partial_\nu g_{\sigma\rho} + \partial_\rho g_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\nu\rho})$.
- Properties of the teleparallel connection:
 - Vanishing curvature: $R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \partial_\rho \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\tau\rho} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\tau\sigma} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\rho} = 0$.
 - Vanishing nonmetricity: $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} = 0$.
 - Nonvanishing torsion: $T^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\nu} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} \neq 0$.

- Fundamental fields:

- Coframe field $\theta^A = \theta^A{}_\mu dx^\mu$.
- Flat spin connection $\omega^A{}_B = \omega^A{}_{B\mu} dx^\mu$.
- Arbitrary matter fields χ .

- Derived quantities:

- Frame field $e_A = e_A{}^\mu \partial_\mu$ with $e_A{}^\mu \theta^B{}_\mu = \delta_A^B$ and $e_A{}^\mu \theta^A{}_\nu = \delta^\mu{}_\nu$.
- Metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{AB} \theta^A{}_\mu \theta^B{}_\nu$.
- Determinant $\theta = \det(\theta^A{}_\mu)$.
- Teleparallel connection $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = e_A{}^\mu (\partial_\rho \theta^A{}_\nu + \omega^A{}_{B\rho} \theta^B{}_\nu)$.
- Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\sigma} (\partial_\nu g_{\sigma\rho} + \partial_\rho g_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\nu\rho})$.

- Properties of the teleparallel connection:

- Vanishing curvature: $R^\mu{}_{\nu\rho\sigma} = \partial_\rho \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\tau\rho} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\tau\sigma} \Gamma^\tau{}_{\nu\rho} = 0$.
- Vanishing nonmetricity: $Q_{\mu\nu\rho} = \nabla_\mu g_{\nu\rho} = 0$.
- Nonvanishing torsion: $T^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} = \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\nu} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\rho} \neq 0$.

⇒ Possible to use Weitzenböck gauge: $\omega^A{}_{B\mu} \equiv 0$.

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta}^A{}_{\mu} = x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^1{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^2{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^3{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^4{}^A{}_{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu} = x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^0 + x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^1 + x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^2 + x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^3 + x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^4 + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Background tetrad is diagonal: $x_{\theta^A}{}_{\mu}^0 = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$.

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta}^A{}_{\mu} = x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^1{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^2{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^3{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^4{}^A{}_{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Background tetrad is diagonal: $x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta}^A{}_{\mu} = x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^1{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^2{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^3{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^4{}^A{}_{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Background tetrad is diagonal: $x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.
- Useful to define tetrad with lower spacetime indices:

$$x_{\theta}^k{}_{\mu\nu} = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} \eta_{AB} x_{\theta}^k{}^B{}_{\nu}.$$

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta^A}^{\mu} = x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 0} + x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 1} + x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 2} + x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 3} + x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 4} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Background tetrad is diagonal: $x_{\theta^A}^{\mu 0} = \Delta^A_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.
- Useful to define tetrad with lower spacetime indices:

$$x_{\theta^{\mu\nu}}^k = \Delta^A_{\mu} \eta_{AB} x_{\theta^B}^k.$$

- Only certain components are relevant and non-vanishing:

$$x_{\theta^{00}}^2, \quad x_{\theta^{ij}}^2, \quad x_{\theta^{0i}}^3, \quad x_{\theta^{00}}^4, \quad x_{\theta^{ij}}^4.$$

- Post-Newtonian tetrad expansion:

$$x_{\theta}^A{}_{\mu} = x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^1{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^2{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^3{}^A{}_{\mu} + x_{\theta}^4{}^A{}_{\mu} + \mathcal{O}(5).$$

- Background tetrad is diagonal: $x_{\theta}^0{}^A{}_{\mu} = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1)$.
- Higher than fourth velocity order $\mathcal{O}(4)$ is not considered.
- Useful to define tetrad with lower spacetime indices:

$$x_{\theta}^k{}_{\mu\nu} = \Delta^A{}_{\mu} \eta_{AB} x_{\theta}^k{}^B{}_{\nu}.$$

- Only certain components are relevant and non-vanishing:

$$x_{\theta}^2{}_{00}, \quad x_{\theta}^2{}_{ij}, \quad x_{\theta}^3{}_{0i}, \quad x_{\theta}^4{}_{00}, \quad x_{\theta}^4{}_{ij}.$$

- Relation to metric components:

$$x_{\theta}^2{}^g{}_{00} = 2x_{\theta}^2{}_{00}, \quad x_{\theta}^2{}^g{}_{ij} = 2x_{\theta}^2{}_{(ij)}, \quad x_{\theta}^3{}^g{}_{0i} = 2x_{\theta}^3{}_{(i0)},$$
$$x_{\theta}^4{}^g{}_{00} = -(x_{\theta}^2{}_{00})^2 + 2x_{\theta}^4{}_{00}, \quad x_{\theta}^4{}^g{}_{ij} = 2x_{\theta}^4{}_{(ij)} + x_{\theta}^2{}_{ki} x_{\theta}^2{}_{kj}.$$

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\mathcal{X}^k_{\theta\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}\mu\nu} + \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta(\mu\nu)}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta[\mu\nu]}.$$

Sketch of gauge-invariant tetrad PPN

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\mathcal{X}^k_{\theta\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}\mu\nu} + \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta(\mu\nu)}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}\mu\nu} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta[\mu\nu]}.$$

2. Define gauge-invariant tetrad using $\mathbf{s}^k_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $\mathbf{g}^k_{\mu\nu}$.

Sketch of gauge-invariant tetrad PPN

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} + \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{(\mu\nu)}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{[\mu\nu]}}.$$

2. Define gauge-invariant tetrad using $\mathbf{s}^k_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $\mathbf{g}^k_{\mu\nu}$.
3. Express PPN tetrad $\mathcal{P}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}}$ through gauge-invariant tetrad $\theta^k_{\mu\nu}$.

Sketch of gauge-invariant tetrad PPN

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} + \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{(\mu\nu)}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{[\mu\nu]}}.$$

2. Define gauge-invariant tetrad using $\mathbf{s}^k_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $\mathbf{g}^k_{\mu\nu}$.
3. Express PPN tetrad $\mathcal{P}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}}$ through gauge-invariant tetrad $\theta^k_{\mu\nu}$.
4. Write gauge-invariant tetrad components using PPN quantities.

Sketch of gauge-invariant tetrad PPN

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} + \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{(\mu\nu)}}, \quad \mathcal{X}^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}} = \mathcal{X}^k_{\theta^{[\mu\nu]}}.$$

2. Define gauge-invariant tetrad using $\mathbf{s}^k_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $\mathbf{g}^k_{\mu\nu}$.
3. Express PPN tetrad $\mathcal{P}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}}$ through gauge-invariant tetrad $\theta^k_{\mu\nu}$.
4. Write gauge-invariant tetrad components using PPN quantities.
5. Decompose tetrad field equations in analogy to metric case.

Sketch of gauge-invariant tetrad PPN

1. Split tetrad perturbations in symmetric and antisymmetric:

$$\chi^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}} = \chi^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} + \chi^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}}, \quad \chi^k_{\mathbf{s}^{\mu\nu}} = \chi^k_{\theta^{(\mu\nu)}}, \quad \chi^k_{\mathbf{a}^{\mu\nu}} = \chi^k_{\theta^{[\mu\nu]}}.$$

2. Define gauge-invariant tetrad using $\mathbf{s}^k_{\mu\nu}$ in place of $\mathbf{g}^k_{\mu\nu}$.
3. Express PPN tetrad $\mathcal{P}^k_{\theta^{\mu\nu}}$ through gauge-invariant tetrad $\theta^k_{\mu\nu}$.
4. Write gauge-invariant tetrad components using PPN quantities.
5. Decompose tetrad field equations in analogy to metric case.
6. Find PPN parameters by matching terms on both sides.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories**
- 7 Conclusion

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) \theta \, d^4x.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - action and field equations

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) \theta d^4x.$$

- Torsion scalars:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\rho\nu\mu}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = T^\mu{}_{\mu\rho} T^\nu{}^{\nu\rho}.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - action and field equations

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$\mathcal{S}_g[\theta, \omega] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) \theta \, d^4x.$$

- Torsion scalars:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\rho\nu\mu}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = T^\mu{}_{\mu\rho} T^\nu{}_{\nu\rho}.$$

⇒ Field equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} g_{\mu\nu} + 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^\rho \left(\mathcal{F}_{,1} T_{\nu\mu\rho} + \mathcal{F}_{,2} T_{[\rho\mu]\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{,3} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma[\rho} g_{\mu]\nu} \right) + \mathcal{F}_{,1} T^{\rho\sigma}{}_\mu \left(T_{\nu\rho\sigma} - 2T_{[\rho\sigma]\nu} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{,2} \left[T_\mu{}^{\rho\sigma} \left(2T_{\rho\sigma\nu} - T_{\nu\rho\sigma} \right) + T^{\rho\sigma}{}_\mu \left(2T_{[\rho\sigma]\nu} - T_{\nu\rho\sigma} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{,3} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\rho} \left(T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} + 2T_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \right), \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - action and field equations

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) \theta \, d^4x.$$

- Torsion scalars:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\mu\nu\rho}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = T^{\mu\nu\rho} T_{\rho\nu\mu}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = T^\mu{}_{\mu\rho} T_\nu{}^{\nu\rho}.$$

⇒ Field equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F} g_{\mu\nu} + 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}{}^\rho \left(\mathcal{F}_{,1} T_{\nu\mu\rho} + \mathcal{F}_{,2} T_{[\rho\mu]\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{,3} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma[\rho} g_{\mu]\nu} \right) + \mathcal{F}_{,1} T^{\rho\sigma}{}_\mu \left(T_{\nu\rho\sigma} - 2T_{[\rho\sigma]\nu} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{,2} \left[T_\mu{}^{\rho\sigma} \left(2T_{\rho\sigma\nu} - T_{\nu\rho\sigma} \right) + T^{\rho\sigma}{}_\mu \left(2T_{[\rho\sigma]\nu} - T_{\nu\rho\sigma} \right) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{,3} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\rho} \left(T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} + 2T_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \right), \end{aligned}$$

- Use $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ instead of $T_{\mu\nu}$ to avoid confusion with torsion.

- PPN parameters:

$$\beta - 1 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + F_{,3}}{2(2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + 2F_{,3})},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

- PPN parameters:

$$\beta - 1 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + F_{,3}}{2(2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + 2F_{,3})},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.

- PPN parameters:

$$\beta - 1 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + F_{,3}}{2(2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + 2F_{,3})},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.
- Nordvedt parameter vanishes identically:

$$\eta_N = 4\beta - \gamma - 3 - \frac{10}{3}\xi - \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2 - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_1 - \frac{1}{3}\zeta_2 \equiv 0.$$

- PPN parameters:

$$\beta - 1 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + F_{,3}}{2(2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + 2F_{,3})},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.

- Nordvedt parameter vanishes identically:

$$\eta_N = 4\beta - \gamma - 3 - \frac{10}{3}\xi - \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2 - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_1 - \frac{1}{3}\zeta_2 \equiv 0.$$

⇒ No Nordvedt effect - lunar laser ranging unaffected.

- PPN parameters:

$$\beta - 1 = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + F_{,3}}{2(2F_{,1} + F_{,2} + 2F_{,3})},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.

- Nordvedt parameter vanishes identically:

$$\eta_N = 4\beta - \gamma - 3 - \frac{10}{3}\xi - \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2 - \frac{2}{3}\zeta_1 - \frac{1}{3}\zeta_2 \equiv 0.$$

⇒ No Nordvedt effect - lunar laser ranging unaffected.

- Bounds on theory parameters from Cassini tracking:

$$\gamma - 1 = -2\varepsilon = (2.1 \pm 2.3) \cdot 10^{-5}.$$

- Irreducible decomposition of torsion components:

$$T_{\text{ax}} = \frac{1}{18}(\mathcal{T}_1 - 2\mathcal{T}_2), \quad T_{\text{ten}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3), \quad T_{\text{vec}} = \mathcal{T}_3.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - axial-vector-tensor decomposition

- Irreducible decomposition of torsion components:

$$T_{\text{ax}} = \frac{1}{18}(\mathcal{T}_1 - 2\mathcal{T}_2), \quad T_{\text{ten}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3), \quad T_{\text{vec}} = \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- Alternative representation of the action:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\text{ax}}, T_{\text{vec}}, T_{\text{ten}}).$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - axial-vector-tensor decomposition

- Irreducible decomposition of torsion components:

$$T_{\text{ax}} = \frac{1}{18}(\mathcal{T}_1 - 2\mathcal{T}_2), \quad T_{\text{ten}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3), \quad T_{\text{vec}} = \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- Alternative representation of the action:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\text{ax}}, T_{\text{vec}}, T_{\text{ten}}).$$

- Relation between Taylor expansion coefficients:

$$F_{,1} = \frac{1}{18}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,2} = -\frac{1}{9}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,3} = G_{,v} - \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - axial-vector-tensor decomposition

- Irreducible decomposition of torsion components:

$$T_{\text{ax}} = \frac{1}{18}(\mathcal{T}_1 - 2\mathcal{T}_2), \quad T_{\text{ten}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3), \quad T_{\text{vec}} = \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- Alternative representation of the action:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\text{ax}}, T_{\text{vec}}, T_{\text{ten}}).$$

- Relation between Taylor expansion coefficients:

$$F_{,1} = \frac{1}{18}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,2} = -\frac{1}{9}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,3} = G_{,v} - \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}.$$

- Constant defining PPN parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{G_{,v} + G_{,t}}{4G_{,v} + G_{,t}}.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - axial-vector-tensor decomposition

- Irreducible decomposition of torsion components:

$$T_{\text{ax}} = \frac{1}{18}(\mathcal{T}_1 - 2\mathcal{T}_2), \quad T_{\text{ten}} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{T}_1 + \mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3), \quad T_{\text{vec}} = \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- Alternative representation of the action:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = \mathcal{G}(T_{\text{ax}}, T_{\text{vec}}, T_{\text{ten}}).$$

- Relation between Taylor expansion coefficients:

$$F_{,1} = \frac{1}{18}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,2} = -\frac{1}{9}G_{,a} + \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}, \quad F_{,3} = G_{,v} - \frac{1}{2}G_{,t}.$$

- Constant defining PPN parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{G_{,v} + G_{,t}}{4G_{,v} + G_{,t}}.$$

⇒ Purely axial modifications do not affect PPN parameters.

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - particular theories

- New general relativity [Hayashi, Shirafuji '79]:
 - Most general action linear in torsion scalars:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = t_1 \mathcal{T}_1 + t_2 \mathcal{T}_2 + t_3 \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- ⇒ Taylor coefficients given by $F_{,i} = t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 3$.
- ⇒ Constant defining PPN parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2t_1 + t_2 + t_3}{2(2t_1 + t_2 + 2t_3)}.$$

$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3)$ - particular theories

- New general relativity [Hayashi, Shirafuji '79]:
 - Most general action linear in torsion scalars:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = t_1 \mathcal{T}_1 + t_2 \mathcal{T}_2 + t_3 \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- ⇒ Taylor coefficients given by $F_{,i} = t_i$, $i = 1, \dots, 3$.
- ⇒ Constant defining PPN parameters:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{2t_1 + t_2 + t_3}{2(2t_1 + t_2 + 2t_3)}.$$

- $f(T)$ gravity theories [Bengochea, Ferraro '08; Linder '10]:
 - Lagrangian defined as function of linear combination:

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3) = f(T), \quad T = \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{T}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{T}_2 - \mathcal{T}_3.$$

- ⇒ Taylor coefficients given by:

$$F_{,1} = \frac{1}{4}f'(0), \quad F_{,2} = \frac{1}{2}f'(0), \quad F_{,3} = -f'(0)$$

- ⇒ Indistinguishable from GR, since $\varepsilon \equiv 0$.

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M [-\mathcal{A}(\phi)T + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi)X + 2\mathcal{C}(\phi)Y - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\phi)] \theta d^4x.$$

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M [-\mathcal{A}(\phi) T + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi) X + 2\mathcal{C}(\phi) Y - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\phi)] \theta d^4x.$$

- Scalar quantities appearing in the action:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}, \quad X = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu}, \quad Y = g^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\rho\mu} \phi_{,\nu}.$$

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M [-\mathcal{A}(\phi)T + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi)X + 2\mathcal{C}(\phi)Y - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\phi)] \theta d^4x.$$

- Scalar quantities appearing in the action:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}, \quad X = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu}, \quad Y = g^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\rho\mu} \phi_{,\nu}.$$

- Superpotential:

$$S_{\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{\nu\mu\rho} + T_{\rho\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu\rho}) - g_{\rho\mu} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\nu} + g_{\rho\nu} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\mu}.$$

- Gravitational part of the action:

$$S_g[\theta, \omega, \phi] = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M [-\mathcal{A}(\phi)T + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi)X + 2\mathcal{C}(\phi)Y - 2\kappa^2\mathcal{V}(\phi)] \theta d^4x.$$

- Scalar quantities appearing in the action:

$$T = \frac{1}{2} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} S_\rho{}^{\mu\nu}, \quad X = -\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu}, \quad Y = g^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\rho\mu} \phi_{,\nu}.$$

- Superpotential:

$$S_{\rho\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{\nu\mu\rho} + T_{\rho\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu\rho}) - g_{\rho\mu} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\nu} + g_{\rho\nu} T^\sigma{}_{\sigma\mu}.$$

- Taylor expansion of functions around background $\mathcal{X}^0_\phi = \Phi$:

$$A = \mathcal{A}(\Phi), \quad A' = \mathcal{A}'(\Phi), \quad A'' = \mathcal{A}''(\Phi), \quad A''' = \mathcal{A}'''(\Phi), \quad \dots$$

Scalar-torsion - field equations

- Field equations:
 - Tetrad field equations - symmetric part:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) S_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \left(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}' \right) \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{C} \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi - \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu} .\end{aligned}$$

- Field equations:
 - Tetrad field equations - symmetric part:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) S_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \left(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}' \right) \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{C} \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi - \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu} .\end{aligned}$$

- Tetrad field equations - antisymmetric part:

$$0 = (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) T^\rho{}_{[\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho]} .$$

- Field equations:
 - Tetrad field equations - symmetric part:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) S_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \left(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}' \right) \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{C} \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi - \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

- Tetrad field equations - antisymmetric part:

$$0 = (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) T^\rho{}_{[\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho]}.$$

- Scalar field equation:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}' T - \mathcal{B} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}' g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu T_\nu{}^{\nu\mu} + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V}'.$$

Scalar-torsion - field equations

- Field equations:

- Tetrad field equations - symmetric part:

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) S_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \left(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}' \right) \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{C} \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi - \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

- Tetrad field equations - antisymmetric part:

$$0 = (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) T^\rho{}_{[\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho]}.$$

- Scalar field equation:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}' T - \mathcal{B} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}' g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu T_\nu{}^{\nu\mu} + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V}'.$$

- Helpful steps to decouple / simplify:

- Trace reversal: $\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu}$.

Scalar-torsion - field equations

- Field equations:
 - Tetrad field equations - symmetric part:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \Theta_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) S_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \left(\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \right) - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}' \right) \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{C} \left(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi - \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} \right) + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu} .\end{aligned}$$

- Tetrad field equations - antisymmetric part:

$$0 = (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) T^\rho{}_{[\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho]} .$$

- Scalar field equation:

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}' T - \mathcal{B} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{B}' g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu T_\nu{}^{\nu\mu} + \kappa^2 \mathcal{V}' .$$

- Helpful steps to decouple / simplify:

- Trace reversal: $\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{R} g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} .$
- Eliminate second-order tetrad derivatives using tetrad equations.

Scalar-torsion - simplified field equations

- Trace-reversed symmetric tetrad field equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{S}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu} T_\chi{}^{\chi\rho}) \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}' g_{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

Scalar-torsion - simplified field equations

- Trace-reversed symmetric tetrad field equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{S}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu} T_\chi{}^{\chi\rho}) \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}' g_{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

- “Debraided” scalar field equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \mathcal{C} \Theta = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{A} T - 2\mathcal{C} T_\mu{}^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\nu}) - (2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + 3\mathcal{C}^2) \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi \\ & + (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}' - 3\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}') g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + 2\kappa^2 (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}' + 2\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}).\end{aligned}$$

Scalar-torsion - simplified field equations

- Trace-reversed symmetric tetrad field equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{S}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu} T_\chi{}^{\chi\rho}) \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}' g_{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

- “Debraided” scalar field equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \mathcal{C} \Theta = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{A} T - 2\mathcal{C} T_\mu{}^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\nu}) - (2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + 3\mathcal{C}^2) \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi \\ & + (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}' - 3\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}') g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + 2\kappa^2 (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}' + 2\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}).\end{aligned}$$

- Trace-reversed energy-momentum tensor:

$$\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \Theta_{\rho\sigma}.$$

Scalar-torsion - simplified field equations

- Trace-reversed symmetric tetrad field equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{S}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu} T_\chi{}^{\chi\rho}) \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}' g_{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

- “Debraided” scalar field equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \mathcal{C} \Theta = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} - 2\mathcal{C} T_\mu{}^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\nu}) - (2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + 3\mathcal{C}^2) \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi \\ & + (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}' - 3\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}') g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + 2\kappa^2 (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}' + 2\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}).\end{aligned}$$

- Trace-reversed energy-momentum tensor:

$$\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \Theta_{\rho\sigma}.$$

⇒ Trace Θ of energy-momentum becomes source of scalar field.

Scalar-torsion - simplified field equations

- Trace-reversed symmetric tetrad field equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{S}_{(\mu\nu)}{}^\rho + g_{\mu\nu} T_\chi{}^{\chi\rho}) \phi_{,\rho} + \mathcal{A} \overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C}' g_{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\rho} \phi_{,\sigma} g^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}') \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\mu \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu \phi + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi g_{\mu\nu} - \kappa^2 \mathcal{V} g_{\mu\nu}\end{aligned}$$

- “Debraided” scalar field equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 \mathcal{C} \Theta = & (\mathcal{A}' + \mathcal{C}) (\mathcal{A} \mathcal{T} - 2\mathcal{C} T_\mu{}^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\nu}) - (2\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} + 3\mathcal{C}^2) \overset{\circ}{\square} \phi \\ & + (\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}' - 3\mathcal{C}\mathcal{C}') g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} + 2\kappa^2 (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{V}' + 2\mathcal{C}\mathcal{V}).\end{aligned}$$

- Trace-reversed energy-momentum tensor:

$$\bar{\Theta}_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} g^{\rho\sigma} \Theta_{\rho\sigma}.$$

⇒ Trace Θ of energy-momentum becomes source of scalar field.

⇒ Scalar source term vanishes for minimal coupling $\mathcal{C} = 0$.

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- PPN parameter γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{2AB + 3C^2 - C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2 + C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}.$$

Scalar-torsion - PPN parameters (massive case)

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- PPN parameter γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{2AB + 3C^2 - C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2 + C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}.$$

- Scalar field mass:

$$m_\phi^2 = \frac{2\kappa^2 AV''}{2AB + 3C^2}.$$

Scalar-torsion - PPN parameters (massive case)

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- PPN parameter γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{2AB + 3C^2 - C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2 + C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}.$$

- Scalar field mass:

$$m_\phi^2 = \frac{2\kappa^2 AV''}{2AB + 3C^2}.$$

⇒ Gravitational potential receives Yukawa-type corrections.

Scalar-torsion - PPN parameters (massive case)

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- PPN parameter γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{2AB + 3C^2 - C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2 + C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}.$$

- Scalar field mass:

$$m_\phi^2 = \frac{2\kappa^2 AV''}{2AB + 3C^2}.$$

⇒ Gravitational potential receives Yukawa-type corrections.

⇒ Corrections vanish for minimal coupling $C = 0$.

Scalar-torsion - PPN parameters (massive case)

- Effective gravitational constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{8\pi A} \left(1 + \frac{C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2} \right)$$

- PPN parameter γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{2AB + 3C^2 - C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}{2AB + 3C^2 + C^2 e^{-m_\phi r}}.$$

- Scalar field mass:

$$m_\phi^2 = \frac{2\kappa^2 AV''}{2AB + 3C^2}.$$

- ⇒ Gravitational potential receives Yukawa-type corrections.
- ⇒ Corrections vanish for minimal coupling $C = 0$.
- ⇒ Corrections vanish for infinite mass $m_\phi \rightarrow \infty$.

- PPN parameters:

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{C^2}{AB + 2C^2},$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{C\{6C^4(C + A') + ABC^2(7C + 8A') + A^2[2B^2(C + A') + B'C^2 - 2BCC']\}}{4(AB + 2C^2)^2(2AB + 3C^2)},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

- PPN parameters:

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{C^2}{AB + 2C^2},$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{C\{6C^4(C + A') + ABC^2(7C + 8A') + A^2[2B^2(C + A') + B'C^2 - 2BCC']\}}{4(AB + 2C^2)^2(2AB + 3C^2)},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.

- PPN parameters:

$$\gamma = 1 - \frac{C^2}{AB + 2C^2},$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{C\{6C^4(C + A') + ABC^2(7C + 8A') + A^2[2B^2(C + A') + B'C^2 - 2BCC']\}}{4(AB + 2C^2)^2(2AB + 3C^2)},$$

$$\xi = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = \zeta_3 = \zeta_4 = 0.$$

⇒ Fully conservative gravity theory:

- No preferred frame or preferred location effects.
- Total energy-momentum is conserved.

⇒ Identical to GR $\gamma = \beta = 1$ for $C = 0$.

- Choose non-minimal coupling function $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{A}'$.

- Choose non-minimal coupling function $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{A}'$.
- ⇒ Action functional after integration by parts [MH '18]:

$$S_g = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{A}(\phi) \overset{\circ}{R} + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi) X - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Choose non-minimal coupling function $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{A}'$.

⇒ Action functional after integration by parts [MH '18]:

$$S_g = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{A}(\phi) \overset{\circ}{R} + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi) X - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

⇒ Theory becomes equivalent to scalar-curvature gravity [Flanagan '04].

- Choose non-minimal coupling function $\mathcal{C} = -\mathcal{A}'$.

⇒ Action functional after integration by parts [MH '18]:

$$S_g = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int_M \left[\mathcal{A}(\phi) \overset{\circ}{R} + 2\mathcal{B}(\phi) X - 2\kappa^2 \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x .$$

⇒ Theory becomes equivalent to scalar-curvature gravity [Flanagan '04].

- Re-obtain well-known PPN parameters as consistency check.

Scalar-torsion - minimally coupled theories

- Numerous minimally coupled ($\mathcal{C} = 0$) theories:

- Teleparallel dark energy [Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu '11]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi\phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Interacting dark energy [Otalora '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a general coupling to torsion [Izumi, Gu, Ong '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a dynamical kinetic term [Chen, Wu, Wei '14]:

$$\mathcal{A} = \phi, \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega(\phi)/\phi.$$

Scalar-torsion - minimally coupled theories

- Numerous minimally coupled ($\mathcal{C} = 0$) theories:

- Teleparallel dark energy [Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu '11]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi\phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Interacting dark energy [Otalora '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a general coupling to torsion [Izumi, Gu, Ong '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a dynamical kinetic term [Chen, Wu, Wei '14]:

$$\mathcal{A} = \phi, \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega(\phi)/\phi.$$

⇒ PPN parameters take GR values $\gamma = \beta = 1$.

Scalar-torsion - minimally coupled theories

- Numerous minimally coupled ($\mathcal{C} = 0$) theories:

- Teleparallel dark energy [Geng, Lee, Saridakis, Wu '11]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi\phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Interacting dark energy [Otalora '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2\xi F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a general coupling to torsion [Izumi, Gu, Ong '13]:

$$\mathcal{A} = F(\phi), \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega.$$

- Brans-Dicke type with a dynamical kinetic term [Chen, Wu, Wei '14]:

$$\mathcal{A} = \phi, \quad \mathcal{B} = 2\kappa^2\omega(\phi)/\phi.$$

⇒ PPN parameters take GR values $\gamma = \beta = 1$.

⇒ Theories are indistinguishable from GR by PPN parameters.

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu{}^{\mu\nu}.$$

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Consider only massless scalar field $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Consider only massless scalar field $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

⇒ Parameter functions:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2 \xi \phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2, \quad \mathcal{C} = 4\kappa^2 \chi \phi.$$

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2 \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Consider only massless scalar field $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

⇒ Parameter functions:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2 \xi \phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2, \quad \mathcal{C} = 4\kappa^2 \chi \phi.$$

⇒ Deviations from GR governed by $\mathcal{C} \sim \chi$.

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Consider only massless scalar field $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

⇒ Parameter functions:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2 \xi \phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2, \quad \mathcal{C} = 4\kappa^2 \chi \phi.$$

⇒ Deviations from GR governed by $\mathcal{C} \sim \chi$.

⇒ PPN parameters:

$$\gamma = 1 + 128\pi\chi^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^4), \quad \beta = 1 + 32\pi\xi\chi\phi^2 + 32\pi\chi^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3).$$

Scalar-torsion - non-minimally coupled boundary term

- Action functional [Bahamonde, Wright '15]:

$$S_g = \int_M \left[-\frac{T}{2\kappa^2} + \frac{1}{2} (g^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} - \xi \phi^2 T - \chi \phi^2 B) - \mathcal{V}(\phi) \right] \theta d^4x.$$

- Boundary term $B \rightsquigarrow Y$ after integration by parts:

$$B = \overset{\circ}{R} + T = 2\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_\nu T_\mu^{\mu\nu}.$$

- Consider only massless scalar field $\mathcal{V} = 0$.

⇒ Parameter functions:

$$\mathcal{A} = 1 + 2\kappa^2 \xi \phi^2, \quad \mathcal{B} = -\kappa^2, \quad \mathcal{C} = 4\kappa^2 \chi \phi.$$

⇒ Deviations from GR governed by $\mathcal{C} \sim \chi$.

⇒ PPN parameters:

$$\gamma = 1 + 128\pi\chi^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^4), \quad \beta = 1 + 32\pi\xi\chi\phi^2 + 32\pi\chi^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\chi^3).$$

⇒ Depends on background value Φ (determined from potential \mathcal{V}).

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Gauge-invariant higher perturbations
- 3 Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism
- 4 Gauge-invariant PPN formalism
- 5 Tetrad and teleparallel PPN formalisms
- 6 PPN limits of teleparallel theories
- 7 Conclusion**

- Gauge-invariant perturbation theory:
 - Distinguish between physical and background spacetime.
 - Gauge pulls physical metric to background spacetime.
 - ⇒ Gauge dependent comparison between both metrics.
 - Decompose perturbations into physical data and gauge data.

- Gauge-invariant perturbation theory:
 - Distinguish between physical and background spacetime.
 - Gauge pulls physical metric to background spacetime.
 - ⇒ Gauge dependent comparison between both metrics.
 - Decompose perturbations into physical data and gauge data.
- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.

- Gauge-invariant perturbation theory:
 - Distinguish between physical and background spacetime.
 - Gauge pulls physical metric to background spacetime.
 - ⇒ Gauge dependent comparison between both metrics.
 - Decompose perturbations into physical data and gauge data.
- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.
- Gauge-invariant PPN formalism:
 - Apply gauge-invariant perturbation theory to PPN formalism.
 - Decomposition of metric and field equations.
 - Avoids issues arising from necessity to choose a gauge.
 - ⇒ Simpler set of equations to determine PPN parameters.
 - Can also be formulated for tetrad instead of metric.

- Gauge-invariant perturbation theory:
 - Distinguish between physical and background spacetime.
 - Gauge pulls physical metric to background spacetime.
 - ⇒ Gauge dependent comparison between both metrics.
 - Decompose perturbations into physical data and gauge data.
- Parametrized post-Newtonian formalism:
 - Weak-field approximation of metric gravity theories.
 - Characterizes gravity theories by 10 (constant) parameters.
 - Parameters closely related to solar system observations.
- Gauge-invariant PPN formalism:
 - Apply gauge-invariant perturbation theory to PPN formalism.
 - Decomposition of metric and field equations.
 - Avoids issues arising from necessity to choose a gauge.
 - ⇒ Simpler set of equations to determine PPN parameters.
 - Can also be formulated for tetrad instead of metric.
- Post-Newtonian limit of teleparallel gravity theories:
 - Obtained PPN parameters for different teleparallel theories.
 - Considered theories are fully conservative.
 - Large, widely used subclasses have same PPN limit as GR.

- Extend formalism by including higher perturbation orders:
 - General covariant expansion instead of space-time split.
 - Allow also for fast-moving source masses.
 - Consider inspiral phase of black hole merger event.
 - Devise method for calculating gravitational waves.

²See talk by Kai Flathmann after this talk!

- Extend formalism by including higher perturbation orders:
 - General covariant expansion instead of space-time split.
 - Allow also for fast-moving source masses.
 - Consider inspiral phase of black hole merger event.
 - Devise method for calculating gravitational waves.
- Apply formalism to complicated gravity theories:
 - Bimetric and multimetric gravity theories.
 - Multi-scalar Horndeski generalizations.
 - Theories involving generalized Proca fields.
 - Extensions based on metric-affine geometry.

²See talk by Kai Flathmann after this talk!

- Extend formalism by including higher perturbation orders:
 - General covariant expansion instead of space-time split.
 - Allow also for fast-moving source masses.
 - Consider inspiral phase of black hole merger event.
 - Devise method for calculating gravitational waves.
- Apply formalism to complicated gravity theories:
 - Bimetric and multimetric gravity theories.
 - Multi-scalar Horndeski generalizations.
 - Theories involving generalized Proca fields.
 - Extensions based on metric-affine geometry.
- Consider more general teleparallel gravity theories:
 - Theories with modified constitutive laws [MH, Järv, Krššák, Pfeifer '17].
 - Lagrangian as free function $L(T, X, Y, \phi)^2$ [MH '18].
 - Teleparallel extension to Horndeski gravity [Bahamonde, Dialektopoulos, Said '19].
 - Theories obtained from disformal transformations [MH '19].
 - Coupling of scalar fields to $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3$.
 - Theories with multiple tetrads.

²See talk by Kai Flathmann after this talk!

- MH,
“Gauge invariant approach to the parametrized post-Newtonian formalism”,
to appear.
- U. Ualikhanova and MH,
“Parameterized post-Newtonian limit of general teleparallel gravity theories”,
arXiv:1907.08178 [gr-qc].
- E. D. Emtsova and MH,
“Post-Newtonian limit of scalar-torsion theories of gravity as analogue to
scalar-curvature theories”,
arXiv:1909.09355 [gr-qc].